TCST

TECHNICAL COMMUNICATION & SOCIAL JUSTICE

Introduction to Volume 2: Special Issue on Social Justice and Translation in Technical Communication

Laura Gonzales, University of Florida Suban Nur Cooley, Michigan State University

Technical Communication & Social Justice, Vol 2, No. 1 (2024)

This is the second volume of the special issue on Social Justice and Translation in Technical Communication. We are reprinting our introduction here, and summarizing the new articles included in this second volume. To read summaries of the articles in Volume One, please visit the <u>TCSJ website</u>.

When you think about, read, or hear the word "translation," what comes to mind?

For some, translation is the process of making information more widely accessible by replacing words in one language with words in another language. Many people have read translated books, used digital translation tools on a trip abroad, or perhaps read translated documents in various contexts for different reasons. While all of these interpretations of translation are accurate in their own way, when multilingual people, and especially multilingual people who have learned to navigate the world in a language that is new to them, think about, read, or hear the word "translation," many of us are immediately transported to particular experiences that have shaped our identities.

When hearing the word "translation," multilingual people may think, for example, about the days we spent going to doctors' visits with our parents, translating information from English into our home languages to try and help our parents stay safe and healthy. We might remember the process of migrating to a new country, learning to make our mouths move in ways that felt foreign or strange. We might think about, and physically feel, the anxiety we still experience when having to advocate for ourselves and our loved ones in a language that is not our own. We might recall the comfort and love we feel when we can express ourselves freely with people who understand our home language, without needing to mask or translate our emotions.

For multilingual people, translation is much more than an abstract practice. It is an embodied experience that holds multiple memories of struggle, joy, pain, and much more. For communities who have to rely on translation to access information, particularly in a country that continues to uphold Western, white languages and people as the standard, translation can also be a form of resistance, a tool for liberation, and a way to build community by speaking through "a collective voice" (Rivera, 2022). Translation is also understanding how the same language can undergo

metamorphoses in the mouths of the marginalized, giving words new meaning and life as a means of survival amidst the dominance of whiteness; it is also sometimes just a glance, a shared understanding unspoken, communicated across and between the spaces given. It is coding and switching, remixing and reworking the language of dominance to reinforce subversive actions needed to shift towards change.

Translation in Technical and Professional Communication

Understanding the work of translation in Technical and Professional Communication (TPC) requires an acknowledgement of the miscommunications, misrepresentations, and misunderstandings that can occur when faced with the delicate nuances of transforming information from one language to another, one locale to another, one culture to another. As people who often struggle to find the right words to communicate in the languages we know, the work of translation extends itself into understanding that there are implications to what you share and what is heard/understood. Translation work, then, is an opportunity for us to make language more than a receptacle for information and instruction. Translation becomes the vehicle of possibility in cross-cultural understanding, a way to "speak and interpret with the community, not just for the community, or about the community" (emphasis orig., Royster, 2000, p.275). As Nora K. Rivera (2022) explains in her work with Indigenous interpreters and translators, "Spanish or English terms do not often have Indigenous equivalents that can be interpreted with one word or even one phrase. Many times, Indigenous interpreters draw on the Indigenous practice of dialogue to explain highly technical concepts" (NP). Therefore, understanding the intricacies of translation requires working with, rather than trying to speak for, multilingual communities.

This special issue stems from this space of complexity—one that grapples with how translation in technical communication necessitates an attunement to power structures, positionalities, and orientations. As many technical communication scholars have already demonstrated, translation in technical communication is an intricate practice that requires extensive collaboration between technical communicators, translators, designers, and multilingual communities (Agboka, 2013; Walton, Zraly, and Mugengana, 2015; Maylath, Muñoz Martín, and Pacheco Pinto, 2015; Rivera, 2022). While the goal of technical communication is often to simplify complex information, as Natasha N. Jones and Miriam F. Williams (2018) argue, (over) simplification can also flatten difference and erase the "more sinister and cynical purposes for communication design" (p. 372). For example, when we seek to simplify the translation process by reducing translation to the mere adaptation of words from one language to another, or when we as technical communicators choose to outsource translation to a third party without taking up some of the translation labor or becoming informed about what it takes to make information accessible to a new audience in a new language, we can risk erasing the people, cultures, experiences, and the work that makes multilingual communication happen in today's globalized world. As Halcyon Lawrence (2020) demonstrates, translation not only encompasses the transformation of words from one language to another, but also necessitates an awareness of racial biases embedded in emerging technologies and in the processes we as technical communicators use to develop, test, design, and share our work.

The COVID-19 pandemic exacerbated the need to further consider how translation and technical communication should further intersect with social justice. As COVID misinformation spread through white supremacist media, Black, Indigenous, and People of Color (BIPOC) across the globe organized to translate, transcreate, and localize materials to keep their communities safe. For example, the Centro Profesional Indígena de Asesoría, Defensa, y Traducción, an Indigenous rights organization in Oaxaca de Juárez, Oaxaca, Mexico, developed a campaign titled, "Los Derechos Viven en Todas Las Lenguas" (Our Rights Live in All Languages), where they translated and localized COVID-19 related information for Indigenous language speakers in Mexico. "Standard" protocols like social distancing and washing your hands consistently are not feasible for intergenerational homes with little access to water. Thus, translating technical information about disease treatment and prevention needs to account for linguistic and cultural differences and for the ways in which structural oppression permeates all facets of society.

Another example: In the United Kingdom, healthcare professionals of Somali background began to witness an alarming rate of Somali patients in their wards, and an increase in mortality rates within the community during the height of the COVID-19 pandemic. They started asking how information was circulating in the UK Somali diaspora, and considering what was leading to spikes in patients needing care and support. What they determined was that the information being created by the UK National Health Service (NHS) to educate the community was not reaching the older populations of the diaspora. Instead, these populations were finding more misinformation being widely shared across the community via the messaging platform, WhatsApp. In order to combat this misinformation being widely shared across the global Somali diaspora, Somali-women healthcare professionals in the UK started asking questions about where Somalis were being informed about COVID-19. Even though the NHS had created many materials for dissemination via social media apps, many were not translated into Somali. The NHS were also hosting Zoom webinars with translation available, without realizing that much of the community was not accessing the information being shared because of the way it was being shared. Somali women healthcare workers (doctors, nurses, anesthesiologists) took it upon themselves to change that by working together to make materials that could be more widely shared via WhatsApp for elders in the community.

These are just two examples of the type of work we sought to highlight in this special issue: examples of how translation can serve as a tool for social justice when it is guided by the embodied experiences of multilingual communities. Following the ongoing social justice turn in technical communication, which highlights how technical communicators can intervene in injustices by amplifying the already-existing and ongoing efforts of marginalized communities (Shelton, 2020; Walton, Moore, Jones, 2019), we position translation as one avenue through which technical communication scholars, teachers, and practitioners can shape how meaning is transformed.

Article Summaries

The articles in this special issue (Part 2 of 2) take up the challenge of embracing complexity in technical communication through the intersections of translation and social justice. In soliciting articles for this special issue, we focused on work that recognizes translation, like all technical communication, is not neutral, but is instead always embedded within power structures situated

within and across communities. We solicited work that connected translation, and language more broadly, to the communities using these tools to foster social justice and reject white supremacist practices.

Volume 2 opens with Halcyon Lawrence's "Technical and Professional Communicators as Advocates of Linguistic Justice in the Design of Speech Technologies" which highlights the increased use of listening devices in US schools, prisons, courts of law, and workplaces (among other spaces) despite the bias in automatic speech recognition systems with listening and recognition of languages and dialects spoken by people of color, foreign speakers of English, and marginalized communities. Lawrence argues that Technical and Professional Communication practitioners are "in an ideal position to advocate for a more socially just design of speech devices and to assess and mitigate potential harms to marginalized communities with varying language backgrounds."

This issue continues with Ruby Mendoza, Constance Haywood, Floyd Pouncil and Stephie Kang's "BIPOC Graduate Students' Coalitional Healing in Writing Programs and Colonial Institution," addressing the dilemma of writing and translating BIPOC graduate student demands at a predominantly white institution and "the conflicts that arise when anti-racist and pro-Black initiatives (Jones, Gonzales, & Haas, 2021) are presented within white organizations (Ray, 2019)." The authors discuss the need for programs to support, listen to, and understand BIPOC graduate scholars, "particularly those who exist within a multitude of intersectional and marginalized identities" and how this ineptness to do so stems from a translation issue.

The issue then delves into Valentina Sierra Niño's "Co-creating Collages to Visualize Interpretations about Language Access in North Central Florida," which emphasizes the inclusion of collage as a useful participatory tool when working with multilingual and multicultural community members, technical communicators, and designers to support and represent experiences and obstacles faced through lack of language access and language justice.

In the final article of this special issue, "The Role of Translation in Disaster Response," Sweta Baniya and Liza Potts use the war in Ukraine to highlight "the importance of translation in crisis communication and to demonstrate how that work exists as a form of transnational technical communication." As editors of this issue, we understand the multiplicity, complicity, and complexity of war and also acknowledge the many other places of conflict across the globe at this present moment which may often receive less attention due to the nature of bias and news. Baniya and Potts take into consideration notions of trust, understanding, and efficacy as critical to understanding how technical communicators can best support people in time of war; working to understand the role transnational technical communicators play when translating information during a crisis.

Conclusion

From dreaming up this special issue with the *Technical Communication and Social Justice* journal editors, to discussing our plan with the editorial board, to drafting up a CFP and receiving so many amazing proposals, the entire experience of putting this issue together has echoed support for the growing need to consider TPC praxis beyond white-English. The authors in this

collection centralize their positionalities in engaging with translation research. This emphasis on identity is not always embraced in technical communication or in translation studies, as both fields have historically pretended there is a "neutral" and "objective" approach to communication. However, by highlighting social justice as the ultimate goal of translation and technical communication in this special issue, we were able to recognize how positionality influences all communication, and how, rather than ignoring our identities, we can acknowledge who we are, where we come from, and how our worldview and experiences will undoubtedly shape how we communicate with others. Translation can be interpreted literally or metaphorically, and it can be defined in multiple ways depending on context. Our goal was to centralize lived experience as a critical component in social justice research that expands beyond linguistic boundaries. We're very thankful to all of our contributors, reviewers, editors, and, most importantly, to the students and community members who continue shaping this work.

References

- Agboka, G. Y. (2013). Participatory localization: A social justice approach to navigating unenfranchised/disenfranchised cultural sites. *Technical Communication Quarterly*, 22(1), 28-49.
- Jones, N. N., & Williams, M. F. (2018). Technologies of disenfranchisement: Literacy tests and black voters in the US from 1890 to 1965. *Technical Communication*, 65(4), 371-86.
- Lawrence, H. (2020, December 4). "Bias in listening technology." *ProWriterTU*. Web. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nBqpcR1Exbw
- Maylath, B., Martín, R. M., & Pinto, M. P. (2015). Translation and international professional communication: Building bridges and strengthening skills." *Connexions: International Professional Communication Journal*, 3(2), 3–9.
- Rivera, N. K. (2022). Understanding agency through testimonios: An Indigenous approach to UX research. *Technical Communication*, 69(4). Web. https://www.stc.org/techcomm/2022/10/28/understanding-agency-through-testimonios-an-indigenous-approach-to-ux-research/
- Royster, J. J. (2000). *Traces of a stream: Literacy and social change among African American women*. University of Pittsburgh Press.
- Shelton, C. (2020). Shifting out of neutral: Centering difference, bias, and social justice in a business writing course. *Technical Communication Quarterly*, 29(1), 18-32.
- Walton, R., Moore, K. R., & Jones, N. N. (2019). *Technical communication after the social justice turn: Building coalitions for action*. Routledge.
- Walton, R., Zraly, M., & Mugengana, J. P. (2015). Values and validity: Navigating messiness in a community-based research project in Rwanda. *Technical Communication Quarterly*, 24(1), 45-69.